

Human Rights Council Report Card: Government Positions on Key Issues 2006-2007

	Establishing a credible Universal Periodic Review mechanism			
Member Countries	Source of Information for UPR: Supported role of civil society and independent experts in providing information for the report serving as the basis of the review	Role of civil society and experts in the review process: Supported a review process led by independent experts	Adoption of the UPR outcome: Opposed adoption of UPR outcome by consensus	
	☑ Supported information provided by the State as the primary basis for the report and opposed submissions by civil society directly to the council.	☑ Supported a review process controlled by States and opposed role of experts and civil society	☑ Supported adoption of UPR outcome by consensus	
		Supported a State-led review process with participation of civil society and experts		
Algeria	X	X	X	
Argentina	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Azerbaijan				
Bahrain	X	X	X	
Bangladesh	×	X	×	
Brazil	$\overline{\checkmark}$	\Diamond		
Cameroon	X	X	X	
Canada	$\overline{\checkmark}$	×	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
China	×	X	×	
Cuba	X	X		
Czech Republic	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
Djibouti	X	X	X	
Ecuador	$\overline{\checkmark}$	O		
Finland	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
France	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Gabon	X	X	X	
Germany	▼	▼	▼	
Ghana	⊠	X	X	
Guatemala	X —	<u> </u>		
India	X	X	X	
Indonesia	X	X	X	
Japan				



Human Rights Council Report Card: Government Positions on Key Issues 2006-2007

	Establishing a credible Universal Periodic Review mechanism			
Member Countries	Source of Information for UPR: Supported role of civil society and independent experts in providing information for the report serving as the basis of the review	Role of civil society and experts in the review process: Supported a review process led by independent experts	Adoption of the UPR outcome: Opposed adoption of UPR outcome by consensus	
	Supported information provided by the State as the primary basis for the report and opposed submissions by civil society directly to the council.	⊠ Supported a review process controlled by States and opposed role of experts and civil society	⊠ Supported adoption of UPR outcome by consensus	
		Supported a State-led review process with participation of civil society and experts		
Jordan	×	×	×	
Malaysia	×	×	×	
Mali	X	X	X	
Mauritius	X	X		
Mexico	V	\Diamond		
Morocco	X	X	X	
Netherlands	$\overline{\checkmark}$	\checkmark	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
Nigeria	X	X	×	
Pakistan	×	×	×	
Peru	$\overline{\checkmark}$	\otimes		
Philippines	×			
Poland	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
Republic of Korea	$\overline{\checkmark}$	×	√	
Romania	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	\checkmark	
Russia	×	×	×	
Saudi Arabia	×	×	×	
Senegal	×	×	×	
South Africa	×			
Sri Lanka	×	×	×	
Switzerland		\Diamond	\checkmark	
Tunisia	X	X	X	
Ukraine				



Human Rights Council Report Card: Government Positions on Key Issues 2006-2007

	Establishing a credible Universal Periodic Review mechanism				
Member Countries	Source of Information for UPR: Supported role of civil society and independent experts in providing information for the report serving as the basis of the review Supported information provided by the State as the primary basis for the report and opposed submissions by civil society directly to the council.	Role of civil society and experts in the review process: Supported a review process led by independent experts Supported a review process controlled by States and opposed role of experts and civil society	Adoption of the UPR outcome: ☑ Opposed adoption of UPR outcome by consensus ☑ Supported adoption of UPR outcome by consensus		
		Supported a State-led review process with participation of civil society and experts			
United Kingdom	$\overline{\checkmark}$	\checkmark	$\overline{\checkmark}$		
Uruguay	$\overline{\checkmark}$	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Zambia	X	X	X		
Observer Countries ¹					
Chile	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$			
Colombia	X	X			
Costa Rica	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$			
Iran			X		
Liechtenstein			X		
New Zealand	\square				
Norway	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$		X		
Panama	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$			
Paraguay	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$			
USA		X			

¹ Observer country positions are recorded when such positions are publicly available through Council proceedings.